

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/489,144	01/21/2000	Nan-Xing Hu	D/99136	5415
75	. 08/29/2003	•	•	19
John E. Beck		- EXAMINER		
Xerox Corporat Rochester, NY	ion, Xerox Square - 20A 14644		GARRETT, DAWN L	
,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	1774	-
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		() () () () () () () () () ()	AS				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/489,144	HU ET AL.					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dawn Garrett	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	idress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of : (1) a timely filed ameno peal (with appeal fee); o	this application. A proper re- dment which places the applic	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of t no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per	his Advisory Action, or (2) the pire later than SIX MONTHS fi WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MI	date set forth in the final rejection, words the mailing date of the final rejection on THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION in under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the ap	ction. N. See MPEP propriate extension				
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dat (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	e of the shortened statutory poor Office later than three months 37 CFR 1.704(b).	eriod for reply originally set in the fin s after the mailing date of the final re	al Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	d because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding	number of finally rejected clai	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 10 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		been considered but does N	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	d SOLELY to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			l and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 18 and 19.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 25-43</u> .		•					
Claim(s)-withdrawn-from-consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on			niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)					
10. Other:							





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed independent claims 1, 29, 31, 35, 42, and 43 are of a different scope than independent claim 10. As proposed, claim 10 would be allowable as it now incorporates the previously indicated subject matter of dependent claims 18 and 19. The specifically proposed buffer layer limitation for claims 1, 29, 31, 35, 42, and 43 has not been previously considered, so further search and consideration would be required.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment has not been entered and accordingly, the rejections of record are respectfully maintained.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cyst H Kelly